

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/502,143		02/11/2000	Aura Ganz	10359-004001 4808		
26161	7590	09/16/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI	CHARDS	SON PC	VOLPER, THOMAS E			
225 FRANK BOSTON, 1		0		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
2021011, 1		. •		2665	<del></del>	
				DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ML				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- <b>\</b> /				
Advisory Action	09/502,143	GANZ ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas Volper	2665					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice ) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme							
10. Other:							
•	HUY	The MI					
	HUY	D. VU					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Joshi et al. (US 6,006,017) reference does not disclose polls "to transmit data... for... communication sessions", "continuing polling... according to the adapted polling pattern", and adapting a rate of polling "for each of the communication sessions." Firstly, the general polls of Joshi are used to determine whether an inactive station intends to become active. The purpose of becoming active is to transmit data. Secondly, the polling pattern described by Joshi includes specific and general polls. By becoming inactive, thus not polled specifically, a station is not withdrawn from the polling pattern. It will receive general polls, albeit at a lesser rate than an active station receives specific polls, and is thus still in the continued polling pattern. Finally, as described above, Joshi's polling pattern, including general and specific polls, meets the claims' definition of a polling pattern when interpreted in the broadest reasonable manner. Each station is either generally polled or specifically polled, wherein these two poll types comprise two different polling rates..